EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

PIPER & MARBURY

L.L.F

I 200 NINETEENTH STREET, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20036-2430

202-861-3900 FAX: 202-223-2085 BALTIMORE NEW YORK PHILADELPHIA EASTON

WRITER'S DIRECT NUMBER (202) 861-6477 FAX: (202) 223-2085 Rlowe@pipermar.com

December 10, 1998

BY HAND DELIVERY

Ms. Magalie Roman Salas Secretary Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, N.W. Room 222 Washington, DC 20554 DEC 1 0 1998

COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

RM-9108

Re: Docket No. RM9108
Ex Parte Presentation

Dear Ms. Roman Salas:

Pursuant to Section 1.1206 of the Commission's rules, this is to advise you that, in my capacity as counsel to Long Distance International Inc. ("LDI"), a provider of 1010XXX service, I, along with representatives of LDI met yesterday with Yog Varma, Deputy Bureau Chief, Common Carrier Bureau, Richard Cameron, Counsel to Bureau Chief, and Darius Withers, Attorney, Enforcement Division.

During this meeting, we discussed LDI's position with respect to the inability of interexchange carriers to identify incumbent local exchange carriers or competitive local exchange carriers for casual calls. A copy of the following enclosures were submitted to the above-referenced Commission staff:

- An LDI memorandum entitled "Casual Calling Problem" and
- An LDI written presentation describing LDI's business operations, outlining the casual calling problem, and setting forth possible solutions.

No. of Copies rec'd 0+1 List ABCDE Ms. Magalie Roman Salas December 10, 1998 Page 2

In accordance with the Commission's rules, I am hereby submitting one original and one copy of this letter and its enclosures for the above-referenced proceeding.

Sincerely,

Quandall Love
Randall B. Lowe

RBL/deb

Enclosures

cc(w/o encl.): Yog Varma

Richard Cameron Darius Withers Dorothy Attwood Jonathan B. Mirsky

Len Sawicki

LONG DISTANCE INTERNATIONAL INC.



Notes: LONG DISTANCE INTERNATIONAL INC. CASUAL CALLING CASUAL CALLING "NIGHT MARES" FACT OR

FICTION!

Notes: .. WHY DOEST DECARE ABOUT CASUAL CALLING MAJOR "CHAITINGIS" .. CHALLENGES CAUSED BY THE TOLLOWING:

	Notes:
CUECS	
±24	
CLEC RESELLERS	
DETAILS	
On the specific territory Viscouries	
#8.1	
• House para a Royald VSI	
•• Find Con-	
HOW DOEST DECOMBATE HIT PROBLEM TODAY?	
WHERE INTORMATION IS AN ARRAGO FOR	
BEOGKS ALL AND BEFORGING TO FACILITIES BASED OFFOS A MILLER POSSIBLE TUROUGH AN	
ACCEANTECTION OF MORMATION FROM ALVNY SOLECUS, LOUBLOOKS CAME BELONGING TO CHICKEN LEURS (1997)	
NOT AN OPTIMAL APPROACH TO	
CISUAL CALLING	

Notes:

PROPOSED SOLUTIONS

- .. SHORT H RM
 - Product the graph for the FCC for holding the second control of the second
 - Problem and Banggar Bounded and ANI is CLUCKED and
 - Better and Collection Viscomen, cutt weather confident CLLCS
 - ACTEC Reseller about the pay INC
 - casual caller bills.

PROPOSED SOLUTIONS

- ALONG HERM
 - Build an "affordable" database similar to LIDB accessible to all carriers
 - ••Billing and Collection Agreements with CLECS and CLEC Resellers

THE "NIGHT MARE"

- ** PERPETUATION OF ERALD
- ** BLOCKING OF ANK
- RELESS CONSUMER CHOICE
- ••POSSIBLE X ENCHONOL

 CASE ALCALIANG

		i ita
:		

Notes:

 <u></u>		

CASUAL CALLING PROBLEM

PROBLEM

• The inability of interexchange carriers ("IXCs") to identify the incumbent local exchange carrier ("ILEC") or competitive local exchange carrier ("CLEC") for casual calls (i.e., 1010XXX, 0⁺, 0⁻) thereby not allowing IXCs to determine whether it has a billing arrangement with the ILEC or CLEC which has the potential of encouraging consumer fraud.

REASON

• With the introduction of competition to the local exchange and associated policies such as resale and local number portability, NPA-NXXs can no longer be identified with a particular ILEC or CLEC.

SOLUTIONS

- A ten-digit line number data base, such as LIDB, that identifies an ILEC and CLEC.
- Require cost effective, non-discriminatory billing solutions, *i.e.*, require each LEC to offer billing and collection services at a reasonable prices.
- Realizing that the implementation of a ten digit line number data base may be time consuming, as an interim measure, require ILECs and CLECs to provide IXCs with a means by which to identify telephone numbers which they serve and, if necessary, protect such information by treating it as consumer proprietary network information. (47 U.S.C. § 222 (b), (c) 1996; 47 C.F.R. § 64.2005).

CONCLUSION

• Absent an interim or long-term solution, customer choice through casual calling will become unavailable thus resulting in IXCs being forced to block such calls. In the process, the growth of ILECs, CLECs and IXCs will be inhibited.